

Wellington Management: Taking the Mystery Out of Multi-Asset Credit Investing

The following thought-piece has been co-authored by Raina Dunkelberger, Fixed Income Investment Director and Sam Rowley, Fixed Income Investment Specialist of Wellington Management.

Raina and Sam work alongside the investment team to help ensure the integrity of the investment approaches by overseeing and communicating portfolio strategy positioning, performance, and risk exposures.

In a sense, the Multi-Asset Credit universe is a study in contrasts — full of opportunity, yet shrouded in mystery for some investors.

For example, what exactly is a multi-asset credit allocation intended to accomplish for clients?

What are the universally recognised measures for success when managing a strategy that can invest across such a wide array of public and potentially private credit sectors (e.g., high-yield corporate bonds, emerging markets debt, floating-rate loans, securitised credit, direct lending, asset-based finance, etc.)? How do clients identify sources of value-add (i.e., manager skill)? And perhaps the most confounding question of all – how does one even measure “success” across such a heterogeneous opportunity set, populated by a slew of often-dissimilar approaches that may have sharply divergent approaches to asset allocation, portfolio composition, average credit quality, and overall investment philosophy?

The need for clarity has become more important as credit markets have grown more complex.

Investors today are navigating elevated starting yields, tight index-level spreads, greater dispersion across sectors and issuers, continued scrutiny of private credit liquidity, and a market environment in which leadership can rotate quickly. In this setting, we believe the most effective multi-asset credit strategies are not static collections of credit sectors, but dynamic portfolio construction tools that can adjust risk and rotate capital as opportunities evolve.

For retail and institutional investors alike, evaluating and comparing strategies that reside within this domain can be a daunting exercise. With these challenges in mind, we have built what we consider to be a robust framework for defining and measuring success in the multi-asset credit universe. It is designed to help determine if a multi-asset credit solution is indeed adding value as intended by the portfolio manager and expected by the client, while avoiding misleading apples-to-oranges comparisons among strategies.

For the purposes of this paper, we define multi-asset credit as a flexible credit approach that invests across multiple higher-yielding credit sectors, such as high-yield bonds, floating-rate loans, emerging markets debt, securitised credit, and, in some cases, private or semi-liquid credit, with the objective of generating income and total return while dynamically adjusting sector exposures as market conditions evolve.

Identify the need: What role can a multi-asset credit allocation play for clients?

While investors often approach multi-asset credit from different starting points, many are ultimately trying to solve a similar set of challenges: where to source regular income, what to do about persistently elevated equity valuations, how to capitalise on periodic dislocations and compelling relative-value opportunities, and how to navigate an increasingly complex credit landscape.

These considerations have become especially relevant as investors reassess the role of fixed income following a material rate reset in 2022, reconsider the value proposition of illiquid credit, and look for return sources that are less dependent on broad equity market performance.

Taking the Mystery Out of Multi-Asset Credit Investing

Given the dynamism of multi-asset credit, we believe a dedicated allocation can provide diverse utility for an asset owner:

Income Potential

Potential to generate regular income and total net returns, particularly relative to traditional core fixed income allocations for investors seeking to get more from their fixed income exposure. Consistent, diversified income can help stabilise returns as market cycles become more volatile.

Diversification and Downside Mitigation

Access to a broader investment universe, including sectors that are often under-represented in traditional fixed income allocations, such as securitised credit, emerging market corporates, and convertible bonds. Can serve as a middle ground for investors seeking to reduce equity risk while maintaining meaningful participation in economic growth and income generation without moving all the way down the risk spectrum into core fixed income.

Greater Capital Efficiency

Consolidates disparate credit-sector and satellite allocations into a single, actively managed solution with broader opportunity-set exposure. This can help simplify implementation and oversight while enabling dynamic sector rotation, efficient asset reallocation, and the ability to capitalise on relative-value opportunities (Figure 1) and credit market dislocations as they emerge.

Complement to Private Credit Exposure

Can serve as a liquid complement, or alternative, to private credit allocations. Multi-asset credit provides exposure to many of the same underlying credit risk factors as private credit strategies while offering daily liquidity, greater transparency, and flexibility as market conditions evolve, particularly as the incremental return premium of private credit compresses.

Viewed collectively, these benefits highlight why many investors increasingly see multi-asset credit not as a standalone asset class, but as a flexible portfolio solution capable of serving multiple strategic objectives simultaneously.

Figure 1: Calendar Year Performance Dispersion

2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	YTD '26
6.2	3.8	17.1	15.2	1.8	14.6	22.8	5.4	0.2	14.8	10.6	19.3	0.7
6.2	1.8	16.2	8.9	1.3	14.5	7.6	5.3	-1.1	13.4	9.4	13.8	0.4
5.5	1.5	11.1	8.3	1.1	14.3	7.5	4.2	-4.3	13.1	9.0	13.2	0.3
4.7	1.3	10.8	8.0	1.0	13.8	7.1	3.0	-9.2	13.0	8.6	8.6	0.3
4.2	1.0	9.9	7.9	1.0	13.5	7.1	2.4	-10.9	12.7	8.3	8.6	-0.5
4.1	1.0	9.9	7.5	-0.8	13.1	6.5	-0.3	-11.2	10.5	8.2	8.6	-0.6
2.5	1.0	9.6	6.0	-1.5	12.6	4.5	-0.7	-11.3	10.3	7.7	8.5	-0.6
2.5	0.9	5.2	4.3	-1.9	8.3	4.4	-0.9	-11.7	9.8	7.0	7.8	-0.6
2.1	-0.4	3.5	4.3	-2.1	8.2	3.9	-1.0	-11.8	7.2	5.0	7.6	-1.2
1.9	-2.0	2.0	3.5	-3.0	6.4	3.1	-1.1	-14.2	5.5	5.0	6.0	-1.2
0.7	-4.5	1.7	2.5	-5.3	5.5	2.8	-4.5	-16.0	5.3	1.2	5.9	-1.3
-5.7	-14.9	1.6	1.6	-6.2	4.5	2.7	-8.8	-24.7	5.1	-2.4	5.9	-2.2

Global high yield	US high yield	European high yield	Bank loans	EM sovereigns	EM corporates
CLOs	MBS	CMBS	Convertibles	Asset back securities	EM local

As of 31 March 2026 | Please refer to the Additional Important Disclosure page for additional information regarding third party indexes being shown. Past index or third party performance does not predict future returns. Source: Wellington Management
Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. This information is provided for illustrative purposes only and is based on index data from third-party index providers.

Not all multi-asset credit is created equal

Investors should also recognise that not all multi-asset credit approaches are built in the same way.

Some strategies began as dedicated high-yield or floating-rate loan portfolios and later added adjacent credit sectors, while others rely more heavily on private or semi-liquid credit to generate income. Others are designed from inception as dynamic sector-rotation strategies, with portfolio construction driven by cross-sector relative value, credit-cycle assessment, and active risk management. This distinction matters because the sources of value-add can differ materially across managers.

Given the breadth of approaches under the multi-asset credit umbrella, investors should look beyond the label and focus on how returns are expected to be generated, how much flexibility the portfolio retains, and what trade-offs are being introduced. The criteria (Figure 2) below are intended to highlight key differences across public-only multi-asset credit, public/semi-liquid hybrids, and private credit, particularly around liquidity, transparency, agility, yield potential, and access to differentiated sourcing.

Figure 2: Comparing public, hybrid, and private credit approaches

Criteria	Public-Only Multi-Asset Credit	Public/Semi Liquid Multi-Asset Credit	Private Credit
Daily Liquidity	●	●	●
Ability to capture relative value	●	●	●
Diversification across sectors	●	●	●
Yield potential	●	●	●
Portfolio agility	●	●	●
Transparency	●	●	●
Cycle agility	●	●	●
Differentiated Sourcing	●	●	●
Complexity	●	●	●

Source: Wellington Management

In our view, the strongest approaches are those that use the flexibility of the asset class to actively reallocate risk across sectors in response to changing valuations, fundamentals, and market conditions, rather than relying on static allocations or concentrated sources of income. Relative to outright private credit and semi-liquid credit, public multi-asset credit offers greater liquidity, transparency, and flexibility.

Defining success: Three drivers of value-add

Our approach to multi-asset credit investing targets a global, broad, predominantly public credit universe.

At its foundation is our belief that investors can benefit from diversifying across multiple higher-yielding credit sectors, rather than relying on any single sector in isolation.

Historically, even a static allocation of multiple public credit markets has delivered more compelling risk-adjusted outcomes than standalone sector exposures. Our objective is to build on this diversification benefit through active management.

Our approach relies on three distinct drivers of value-add (Figure 3), which we believe resonate with many multi-asset credit strategy buyers and provide a common language for articulating the primary levers of total-return generation. Together, these three drivers provide a practical framework for defining and assessing success in this broad, dynamic investment universe:

1. Credit cycle calls

We adjust credit exposures based on our own forecasts for economic growth, monetary policy, and corporate leverage/defaults, alongside a view of what is already priced into credit spreads. This is a critical element of any multi-asset credit portfolio that seeks to produce asymmetric upside/downside capture relative to global high yield bonds or equities.

2. Credit sector rotation

We actively and dynamically shift underlying credit-sector allocations based on our changing assessment of where forward-looking relative return potential looks most compelling. The ability to remain nimble in response to changing market conditions is key.


3. Security selection

We aim to capitalise on perceived security-level inefficiencies by drawing on Wellington's extensive global research platform. In addition to collaborating with sector specialist fixed income portfolio managers and credit analysts, we routinely incorporate insights from our global team of equity research analysts. These perspectives on company fundamentals, industry dynamics, and management teams provide an additional layer of conviction in our credit underwriting.

We believe credit cycle calls and sector rotation are particularly important in today's environment. When spreads remain historically tight within select sectors but dispersion remains elevated across subsectors and issuers, security selection alone may not be enough.

The manager's ability to actively determine how much credit risk to own, where to own it, and when to rotate away from crowded or fully valued sectors can be a critical source of downside management and long-term alpha.

Figure 3: Three distinct drivers of value-add



Source: Wellington Management. For illustrative purposes only.

Measuring success: Evaluation benchmarks

For many investment strategies, a generally accepted market benchmark provides a useful reference point against which the performance and features of any strategy within the respective universe can be measured. Additionally, a centrally-recognised benchmark can allow for more seamless apples-to-apples comparisons across a large universe of similar peers. Neither consideration is simple in the multi-asset credit universe.

To a degree, measuring a multi-asset credit solution against a benchmark is contradictory, as we believe one of the benefits of these strategies lies in portfolio construction that is not anchored to a standardised index. This gives multi-asset credit strategies flexibility to dynamically rotate assets among underlying credit sectors. However, the disparate nature of the strategy universe creates the unwanted side effect of making it difficult to find a universally representative and investable benchmark that can act as a proxy for the breadth of potential investments and provide a meaningful basis for evaluating a multi-asset credit manager's performance. The growth and increasing prevalence of private credit and public-private hybrid credit solutions across the multi-asset credit universe has made the benchmarking challenge even more complex.

Given the distinct nature of the universe, one of the most frequent questions we receive from clients and consultants is whether to use a benchmark to gauge success in multi-asset credit.

Our answer is yes, but importantly, only if it is the right kind of reference or evaluation benchmark. Based on the three levers of value-add we use to define success, we believe a multi-asset credit evaluation benchmark should meet the following criteria:

1. Reflect the benefits of simple diversification.
2. Provide a reasonable point of reference for credit exposures.
3. Be truly investable and reflective of the strategy's broad opportunity set.
4. Be relatively easy to track over time.

Finding benchmarks that meet all four of these criteria in a space as heterogeneous as the multi-asset credit universe often requires turning to customised index blends.

Specifically, we see merit in using a blended evaluation benchmark that captures a multi-asset credit solution's entire investment universe and high-level risk characteristics (e.g., duration, spread duration). For example, many of our clients have used a blended credit index consisting of equally-weighted allocations to high-yield bonds, floating-rate loans, and emerging markets sovereign debt to evaluate the performance of our multi-asset credit solution.

We strongly believe this type of blend better reflects a multi-asset credit strategy's diverse universe than do single-sector indices (e.g., global high yield) or diversified high-quality indices (e.g., the Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate), without adding undue complexity. This blend also incorporates the benefits of naive, simple diversification and maintains a sufficiently long effective duration (roughly three and a quarter years), which is consistent with the structural role we believe duration should play in a multi-asset credit solution.

While we view this blended evaluation or reference benchmark framework as superior to other benchmark options available to clients, it is not perfect. For instance, it may exhibit more spread duration than is optimal from a credit-risk standpoint. It also contains significantly more emerging markets debt than we would otherwise consider neutral and leaves out certain sectors that lack investable indices (e.g., securitised credit). However, we believe this blend can serve as an objective reference point for measuring a multi-asset credit solution's performance over time. It also provides investors with a useful guidepost that is not peer-relative, thus reducing the burden of relying on apples-to-oranges comparisons among multi-asset credit strategies.

Creating the mosaic: Beyond benchmarks

Of course, all benchmarks have their limitations. While a blended benchmark that fits the criteria we have laid out can be an effective tool for measuring success, it should be just one of a whole “mosaic” of indicators used to track the many ways that a multi-asset credit solution is expected to deliver value for clients.

Our mosaic also includes:

- Upside/downside capture metrics relative to high yield and equity indices.
- Greater risk-adjusted total returns over time compared to single-sector credit indices or an equal-weighted blend of high-yield bonds, floating-rate loans, and emerging markets sovereign debt.
- Ability to attribute value-add across the primary drivers of return generation, including credit risk positioning, sector allocation decisions, and security selection, to evaluate the effectiveness of investment decisions.
- A sufficient liquidity profile and price transparency that doesn't mask underlying credit stress inherent to infrequently marked portfolios.

With enough insight and understanding of the importance of maintaining a broader evaluation mosaic, investors are often pleasantly surprised to learn that measuring success in multi-asset credit investing can be less mysterious than they first thought.

Important Information

This information is for discussion purposes only and has been prepared solely for use by Australian wholesale clients and professional investors (as defined under the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth)).

Channel Investment Management Limited ACN 163 234 240 AFSL 439007 ('CIML') is the responsible entity for the CC Wellington Multi-Sector Credit Fund (AUD) ARSN 688 887 103 ('AU Fund'). Channel Capital Pty Ltd 162 591 568 AR No. 1274413 ('Channel Capital') is the holding company of CIML and distributor of the AU Fund. The AU Fund invests in the Wellington Multi-Sector Credit Fund, being a sub-fund of the Wellington Management Funds (Luxembourg) II SICAV (the 'Underlying Fund', or together with its affiliates 'Wellington').

None of CIML, Channel Capital, Wellington, or their respective officers and employees, make any representations or warranties, express or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information contained in this document and nothing herein is or shall be relied upon as a promise or representation, whether as to the past or the future.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance. This material has been prepared without taking into account the particular investment objectives, financial situation or needs of any individual. Views and opinions expressed herein are provided for educational purposes only, are current as at the date of publication, and are subject to change without notice. They should not be construed as financial product advice or a recommendation to investors or potential investors in relation to holding, purchasing or selling units in the AU Fund or any other financial product. Readers are cautioned against placing undue reliance on forward-looking statements that are predictive in character; may be affected by inaccurate assumptions or by known or unknown risks and uncertainties; and may differ materially from results ultimately achieved. Before acting on any information you should consider the appropriateness of the information having regard to these matters, and in particular, you should seek independent financial advice. For further information and before investing, please read the AU Fund's Product Disclosure Statement and Target Market Determination available from www.channelcapital.com.au.

Important Disclosures

Wellington Management Company LLP (WMC) is an independently owned investment adviser registered with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). WMC is also registered with the US Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) as a commodity trading advisor (CTA) and serves as a CTA to certain clients including commodity pools operated by registered commodity pool operators. WMC provides commodity trading advice to all other clients in reliance on exemptions from CTA registration. WMC, along with its affiliates (collectively, Wellington Management), provides investment management and investment advisory services to institutions around the world. Located in Boston, Massachusetts, Wellington Management also has offices in Chicago, Illinois; Radnor, Pennsylvania; San Francisco, California; Frankfurt; Hong Kong; London; Luxembourg; Milan; Shanghai; Singapore; Sydney; Tokyo; Toronto; and Zurich. This material is prepared for, and authorized for internal use by, designated institutional and professional investors and their consultants or for such other use as may be authorized by Wellington Management. This material and/or its contents are current at the time of writing and may not be reproduced or distributed in whole or in part, for any purpose, without the express written consent of Wellington Management.

This material is not intended to constitute investment advice or an offer to sell, or the solicitation of an offer to purchase shares or other securities. Investors should always obtain and read an up-to-date investment services description or prospectus before deciding whether to appoint an investment manager or to invest in a fund.

Any views expressed herein are those of the author(s), are based on available information, and are subject to change without notice. Individual portfolio management teams may hold different views and may make different investment decisions for different clients. In Canada, this material is provided by Wellington Management Canada ULC, a British Columbia unlimited liability company registered in the provinces of Alberta, British Columbia, Manitoba, New Brunswick, Newfoundland and Labrador, Nova Scotia, Ontario, Prince Edward Island, Quebec, and Saskatchewan in the categories of Portfolio Manager and Exempt Market Dealer. In Europe (excluding the United Kingdom and Switzerland), this material is provided by Wellington Management Europe GmbH (WME), which is authorized and regulated by the German Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht – BaFin). This material may only be used in countries where WME is duly authorized to operate and is only directed at eligible counterparties or professional clients as defined under the German Securities Trading Act. This material does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation to invest in financial instruments or information recommending or suggesting an investment strategy within the meaning of Section 85 of the German Securities Trading Act (Wertpapierhandelsgesetz). In the United Kingdom, this material is provided by Wellington Management International Limited (WMIL), a firm authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) in the UK (Reference number: 208573).

This material may only be used in countries where WME is duly authorized to operate and is only directed at eligible counterparties or professional clients as defined under the German Securities Trading Act. This material does not constitute investment advice, a solicitation to invest in financial instruments or information recommending or suggesting an investment strategy within the meaning of Section 85 of the German Securities Trading Act (Wertpapierhandelsgesetz). In the United Kingdom, this material is provided by Wellington Management International Limited (WMIL), a firm authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) in the UK (Reference number: 208573). This material is directed only at eligible counterparties or professional clients as defined under the rules of the FCA. In Switzerland, this material is provided by Wellington Management Switzerland GmbH, a firm registered at the commercial register of the canton of Zurich with number CH-020.4.050.857-7. This material is directed only at Qualified Investors as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act and its implementing ordinance. In Hong Kong, this material is provided to you by Wellington Management Hong Kong Limited (WM Hong Kong), a corporation licensed by the Securities and Futures Commission to conduct Type 1 (dealing in securities), Type 2 (dealing in futures contracts), Type 4 (advising on securities), and Type 9 (asset management) regulated activities, on the basis that you are a Professional Investor as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance.

By accepting this material you acknowledge and agree that this material is provided for your use only and that you will not distribute or otherwise make this material available to any person. Wellington Investment Management (Shanghai) Limited is a wholly-owned entity and subsidiary of WM Hong Kong. In Singapore, this material is provided for your use only by Wellington Management Singapore Pte Ltd (WM Singapore) (Registration Number 201415544E). WM Singapore is regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore under a Capital Markets Services Licence to conduct fund management activities and is an exempt financial adviser. By accepting this material you represent that you are a non-retail investor and that you will not copy, distribute or otherwise make this material available to any person. In Australia, Wellington Management Australia Pty Ltd (WM Australia) (ABN 19 167 091 090) has authorized the issue of this material for use solely by wholesale clients (as defined in the Corporations Act 2001).

By accepting this material, you acknowledge and agree that this material is provided for your use only and that you will not distribute or otherwise make this material available to any person. Wellington Management Company LLP is exempt from the requirement to hold an Australian financial services licence (AFSL) under the Corporations Act 2001 in respect of financial services provided to wholesale clients in Australia, subject to certain conditions. Financial services provided by Wellington Management Company LLP are regulated by the SEC under the laws and regulatory requirements of the United States, which are different from the laws applying in Australia. In Japan, Wellington Management Japan Pte Ltd (WM Japan) (Registration Number 199504987R) has been registered as a Financial Instruments Firm with registered number: Director General of Kanto Local Finance Bureau (Kin-Sho) Number 428. WM Japan is a member of the Japan Investment Advisers Association (JIAA), the Investment Trusts Association, Japan (ITA), and the Type II Financial Instruments Firms Association (T2FIFA). WMIL, WM Hong Kong, WM Japan, and WM Singapore are also registered as investment advisers with the SEC; however, they will comply with the substantive provisions of the US Investment Advisers Act only with respect to their US clients. ©2026 Wellington Management Company LLP. All rights reserved.

PRINCIPAL RISKS

Asset/Mortgage-Backed Securities Risk – Mortgage-related and asset-backed securities are subject to prepayment risk, which is the possibility that the principal of the loans underlying the securities may prepay differently than anticipated at purchase. Because of prepayment risk, the duration of mortgage-related and asset-backed securities may be difficult to predict.

Bank Loan Risk – Bank loans involve risks, including the risk of nonpayment of principal and interest by the borrower. In the event of a default, bank loans contain the risk that any loan collateral may be impaired and that the investor may obtain less than the full value for the collateral sold. An investment in bank loans may also be in the form of an assignment or a participation of all or a portion of a loan from a third party. A participation may involve counterparty exposure to the original bank.

Commingled Fund Risk – Investments in funds or other pooled vehicles generally will indirectly incur a portion of that fund's operating expenses and/or fees and will inherit a proportion of the fund's investment risks. Funds may have different liquidity profiles based on their dealing terms, and the types of instruments in the fund. In the event a fund holds illiquid instruments, it is possible that a full redemption from the fund could result in taking custody of illiquid instruments that could not be sold in the market.

Credit Derivatives Risk – Credit derivatives transfer price, spread and/or default risks from one party to another and are subject to additional risks including liquidity, loss of value, and counterparty risk. Payments under credit derivatives are generally triggered by credit events such as bankruptcy, default, restructuring, failure to pay, or acceleration. The market for credit derivatives may be illiquid, and there are considerable risks that it may be difficult to either buy or sell the instruments as needed or at reasonable prices. The value and risks of a credit derivative instrument depends largely the underlying credit asset. These risks may include price, spread, default, and counterparty.

Taking the Mystery Out of Multi-Asset Credit Investing

Credit Risk – The value of a fixed income security may decline due to an increased risk that the issuer or guarantor of that security may fail to pay interest or principal when due, as a result of adverse changes to the issuer's or guarantor's financial status and/or business. In general, lower-rated securities carry a greater degree of credit risk than higher-rated securities.

Currency Risk – Active investments in currencies are subject to the risk that the value of a particular currency will change in relation to one or more other currencies. Active currency risk may be taken in an absolute, or a benchmark relative basis. Currency markets can be volatile, and may fluctuate over short periods of time.

Derivatives Risk – Derivatives can be volatile and involve various degrees of risk. The value of derivative instruments may be affected by changes in overall market movements, the business or financial condition of specific companies, index volatility, changes in interest rates, or factors affecting a particular industry or region. Derivative instruments may provide more market exposure than the money paid or deposited when the transaction is entered into. As a result, a relatively small adverse market movement can not only result in the loss of the entire investment, but may also expose a portfolio to the possibility of a loss exceeding the original amount invested. Derivatives may also be imperfectly correlated with the underlying securities or indices it represents, and may be subject to additional liquidity and counterparty risk. Examples include futures, options and swaps.

Emerging Markets Risk – Investments in emerging and frontier countries may present risks such as changes in currency exchange rates; less liquid markets and less available information; less government supervision of exchanges, brokers, and issuers; increased social, economic, and political uncertainty; and greater price volatility. These risks are likely greater relative to developed markets.

Fixed Income Securities Risk – Fixed income security market values are subject to many factors, including economic conditions, government regulations, market sentiment, and local and international political events. In addition, the market value of fixed income securities will fluctuate in response to changes in interest rates, and the creditworthiness of the issuer.

Interest Rate Risk – Generally, the value of fixed income securities will change inversely with changes in interest rates, all else equal. The risk that changes in active interest rates will adversely affect fixed income investments will be greater for longer-term fixed income securities than for shorter-term fixed income securities.

Leverage Risk – Use of leverage increases portfolio exposure and may result in a higher degree of risk, including (i) greater volatility, (ii) greater losses from investments than would otherwise have been the case had leverage not been used to make the investments, (iii) margin calls that may force premature liquidations of investment positions.

Liquidity Risk – Investments with low liquidity may experience market value volatility because they are thinly traded (such as small cap and private equity or private placement bonds). Since there is no guarantee that these securities could be sold at fair value, sales may occur at a discount. In the event of a full liquidation, these securities may need to be held after liquidation date.

Non-Investment Grade Risk – Lower rated securities have a greater risk of default in payments of interest and/or principal than the risk of default for investment grade securities. The secondary market for lower rated securities is typically less liquid than the market for investment grade securities, frequently with more volatile prices and larger spreads between bid and asked price in trading.